

2010 ACADEMIC R&D **SPENDING TRENDS**

Outlays up 10.6% for chemistry, above the 6.3% **GROWTH FOR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING** as a whole

CARMEN DRAHL, C&EN WASHINGTON

IN 2010, the most recent fiscal year for which data are available from the National Science Foundation, funds from the American Recovery & Reinvestment Act of 2009, known as the economic stimulus package, made their long-awaited appearance. Those new funds explain why spending figures are far better than might be expected, given the current economic malaise.

Academic R&D spending for science and engineering fields totaled \$58.3 billion in 2010. That figure increased 6.3% from 2009. This is an improvement over 2009's growth of 5.8% as well as 2008's growth of 4.8%. But it still lags behind the early 2000s, when annual spending growth approached or hit double digits.

Taking into account the effect of inflation, R&D spending went up 5.4% in terms of constant dollars between 2009 and 2010. For the decade ending in 2010, spending increased 54.7% in constant dollars (93.9% in current dollars) over the previous decade.

Academe receives most of its monies

from the federal government. The federal sector's 2010 contribution—\$36.5 billion, a 12.1% increase over the prior year amounted to 62.6% of the total. That boost is far above the 7.6% annual average increase between 2000 and 2010. However, some other sources decreased outlays. Institutions kicked in \$10.6 billion, down from \$11.1 billion in 2009, and state and local governments contributed \$3.6 billion, only slightly down from \$3.7 billion.

The 2010 fiscal year saw little change to the relative share of expenditures within the various sectors of science and engineering. Science accounted for 84.0% of science and engineering R&D spending in 2010, a 6.0% increase over 2009 to \$49.0 billion. The biggest portion of that went to the life sciences, as usual, which saw a 6.5% increase to \$34.9 billion, or 59.8% of the total 2010 science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) R&D budget.

The physical sciences had more to cel-

SOLID SPENDING At the University of Washington, Seattle, a top investor in chemistry R&D, Pradip Rathod (left) and Mark Stevens examine a mosquito sculpture. Rathod runs a malaria drug discovery program.

ebrate in 2010 compared with the life sciences. Chemistry, physics, astronomy, and other physical sciences expenditures saw a jump of 8.0% overall to \$4.6 billion in 2010, for a 7.9% share of the total. As opposed to last year, when physics saw most of the growth, 2010 was chemistry's turn, with a spending increase of 10.6% to \$1.8 billion. Chemistry's piece of the science budget

pie hit 3.0% in 2010 after several years at 2.9%.

On a constant-dollar basis, chemistry saw a 9.6% spending hike between 2009 and 2010. Between 2000 and 2010, chemistry R&D spending rose 45.2% in constant dollars (82.0% in current dollars).

Spending in engineering increased 8.0% to \$9.3 billion in current dollars, or 16.0% of the total STEM R&D expenditures. Although the sector overall posted a smaller increase than last year, chemical and materials engineers enjoyed big boosts. Chemical engineering spending increased 14.5% to \$797 million, holding steady at 1.4% of the total. Materials engineers saw a whopping 32.0% boost to \$908 million, 1.6% of the STEM total.

FEDERAL INVESTMENT in engineering R&D has for several years grown more than investment in basic science. But in 2010 the gap was not quite as large as in previous years. Support for science R&D from the government grew 11.7% in 2010, reaching \$30.8 billion, and engineering saw growth of 13.8% to \$5.7 billion. Chemistry's \$1.2 million outlay topped 2009's by 15.8%, above the 6.6% average throughout the prior decade.

California Institute of Technology once again spent the most money for chemistry R&D from all sources combined. Caltech has been top dog for five of the past six years. It took only a 3.0% spending increase to \$35.6 million to retain its rank. Rutgers,

the State University of New Jersey, stayed in second place with an 8.2% boost to \$34.2 million. Despite a 0.3% decline in spending to \$30.1 million, the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign (UIUC), moved up to third. Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard University completed the top five.

Harvard and the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, in ninth place, were the biggest upward movers among the top 10 chemical R&D spenders. In 2009, Harvard placed 12th and UNC Chapel Hill placed 16th.

The top chemical engineering R&D spender in 2010, Georgia Institute of Technology, also kept its place from 2009. Its expenditure increased 12.3% to \$28.2 million, a more modest increase from its 27.3% rise for 2009. Rounding out the top five were Ohio State University, MIT, the University of South Carolina, and the University of Texas, Austin.

The federal government's biggest outlay

for chemical R&D in 2010 went to Rutgers. The university's \$29.1 million share was a 13.3% boost from 2009, about on par with its average annual change for the past decade. Caltech stayed at number two with a more modest 3.7% increase to \$27.0 million. However, MIT fell to number three with an 11.6% decline in federal dollars. Other schools in the top five were UNC Chapel Hill and UIUC.

The largest federal allotment for chemical engineering R&D in 2010 went again to

ANNUAL CUANCE

ACADEMIC R&D SPENDING, BY FIELD

Compared with most sciences, chemistry and chemical engineering had bigger spending boosts in 2010

												ANNUAL	CHANGE
\$ MILLIONS	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
ALL SCIENCES	\$25,527	\$27,782	\$30,861	\$34,083	\$36,923	\$39,031	\$40,664	\$41,978	\$43,914	\$46,215	\$48,994	6.0%	6.7%
Life ^a	17,471	19,229	21,438	23,756	25,949	27,604	28,804	29,805	31,193	32,779	34,903	6.5	7.2
Physical ^b	2,713	2,804	3,016	3,275	3,547	3,703	3,814	3,863	3,932	4,283	4,625	8.0	5.5
Physics	1,208	1,240	1,286	1,418	1,522	1,596	1,611	1,616	1,607	1,870	2,003	7.1	5.2
Chemistry	962	1,008	1,129	1,226	1,318	1,372	1,414	1,460	1,487	1,583	1,751	10.6	6.2
Psychology & social	1,816	2,025	2,268	2,443	2,457	2,510	2,582	2,676	2,875	3,053	3,070	0.6	5.4
Environmental	1,766	1,829	2,017	2,194	2,353	2,554	2,601	2,682	2,799	2,923	2,990	2.3	5.4
Computer	877	954	1,124	1,303	1,404	1,404	1,443	1,431	1,471	1,600	1,658	3.6	6.6
Mathematical	342	360	388	428	448	494	533	573	620	547	599	9.5	5.8
Other	543	581	610	683	764	761	888	948	1,025	1,029	1,150	11.8	7.8
ALL ENGINEERING	\$4,557	\$5,019	\$5,522	\$5,994	\$6,314	\$6,743	\$7,095	\$7,517	\$7,958	\$8,649	\$9,344	8.0%	7.4%
Materials	399	453	468	548	565	612	643	633	647	688	908	32.0	8.6
Chemical	376	414	431	453	493	506	560	599	656	696	797	14.5	7.8
TOTAL	\$30,084	\$32,801	\$36,383	\$40,077	\$43,238	\$45,774	\$47,759	\$49,495	\$51,872	\$54,863	\$58,338	6.3%	6.8%
ANNUAL CHANGE	9.2%	9.0%	10.9%	10.2%	7.9%	5.9%	4.3%	3.6%	4.8%	5.8%	6.3%		

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. Totals may not add because of rounding. a Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. b Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

FEDERALLY FINANCED ACADEMIC R&D SPENDING, BY FIELD

All fields increased spending in 2010

												ANNUAL	. CHANGE
\$ MILLIONS	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
ALL SCIENCES	\$14,967	\$16,375	\$18,625	\$21,141	\$23,721	\$25,057	\$25,824	\$25,975	\$26,547	\$27,541	\$30,771	11.7%	7.5%
Life ^a	10,070	11,201	12,856	14,651	16,667	17,692	18,280	18,327	18,659	19,323	21,686	12.2	8.0
Physical ^b	1,916	1,972	2,130	2,355	2,568	2,671	2,700	2,689	2,743	2,963	3,380	14.1	5.8
Physics	902	926	974	1,087	1,169	1,226	1,216	1,220	1,215	1,361	1,556	14.3	5.6
Chemistry	632	660	737	819	921	952	968	976	992	1,037	1,201	15.8	6.6
Environmental	1,135	1,187	1,291	1,446	1,596	1,730	1,768	1,808	1,828	1,890	2,014	6.6	5.9
Psychology & social	842	945	1,093	1,221	1,282	1,306	1,342	1,366	1,451	1,511	1,657	9.7	7.0
Computer	584	643	769	936	1,024	1,021	1,018	1,026	1,036	1,107	1,175	6.1	7.2
Mathematical	230	242	268	295	318	346	375	409	447	369	419	13.6	6.2
Other	191	186	217	237	265	290	341	351	382	378	439	16.1	8.7
ALL ENGINEERING	\$2,581	\$2,851	\$3,231	\$3,612	\$3,907	\$4,130	\$4,308	\$4,456	\$4,725	\$5,035	\$5,732	13.8%	8.3%
Materials	227	241	263	314	352	369	386	378	377	389	437	12.3	6.8
Chemical	196	215	230	248	268	295	320	322	341	360	419	16.4	7.9
TOTAL	\$17,548	\$19,227	\$21,856	\$24,753	\$27,627	\$29,187	\$30,132	\$30,430	\$31,272	\$32,576	\$36,503	12.1%	7.6%
ANNUAL CHANGE	8.9%	9.6%	13.7%	13.3%	11.6%	5.6%	3.2%	1.0%	2.8%	4.2%	12.1%		

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. Totals may not add because of rounding. a Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. b Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

SCHOOL SPENDING ON CHEMICAL R&D

Increase from 2009 at top 10 spending institutions was smaller than the rest of top 50

									ANNUAL	CHANGE	
2010	2009	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	FUNDS, 2010a	2009-10	2000-10
1	1	California Inst. of Technology	\$15,538	\$34,322	\$35,420	\$26,706	\$34,576	\$35,612	75.8%	3.0%	8.6%
2	2	Rutgers, State U of New Jersey	12,351	23,629	21,128	21,207	31,559	34,150	85.3	8.2	10.7
3	4	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	17,667	25,034	27,981	28,181	30,174	30,092	75.0	-0.3	5.5
4	3	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	18,756	18,142	20,620	24,047	30,258	29,110	83.2	-3.8	4.5
5	12	Harvard U	16,957	33,943	29,029	24,255	23,387	28,723	78.5	22.8	5.4
6	6	Northwestern U	11,373	17,258	20,435	18,579	25,907	28,303	69.6	9.2	9.5
7	8	Georgia Inst. of Technology	9,962	22,837	23,356	25,938	25,301	27,354	56.5	8.1	10.6
8	9	U of California, San Diego	9,831	21,789	22,599	19,538	24,124	26,387	82.0	9.4	10.4
9	16	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	10,080	21,280	20,166	23,793	22,047	26,032	89.3	18.1	10.0
10	5	U of California, Berkeley	21,471	27,315	28,283	26,758	26,335	25,549	66.5	-3.0	1.8
		Total, first 10 institutions	\$143,986	\$245,549	\$249,017	\$239,002	\$273,668	\$291,312	76.4%	6.4%	7.3%
11	7	U of Texas, Austin	10,142	24,247	21,782	22,964	25,557	25,089	59.4	-1.8	9.5
12	20	U of Michigan	9,009	18,472	15,939	18,168	19,415	24,956	63.8	28.5	10.7
13	15	U of Colorado	12,251	19,274	17,672	18,802	22,061	24,147	84.6	9.5	7.0
14	29	U of South Carolina	9,321	12,627	13,008	15,115	15,870	23,844	57.7	50.2	9.8
15	28	U of Arizona	9,699	13,734	14,094	16,211	16,314	23,709	70.7	45.3	9.3
16	26	Stanford U	12,947	16,283	17,056	19,377	17,156	23,622	82.0	37.7	6.2
17	19	U of Wisconsin, Madison	14,758	18,348	17,122	17,703	21,027	23,499	62.5	11.8	4.8
18	10	Pennsylvania State U	16,075	22,652	18,796	21,629	24,076	23,220	61.0	-3.6	3.7
19	13	Texas A&M U	15,385	22,448	23,651	18,585	23,315	23,075	45.2	-1.0	4.1
20	18	U of Washington, Seattle	9,167	18,716	17,843	19,330	21,037	23,010	89.6	9.4	9.6
		Total, first 20 institutions	\$262,740	\$432,350	\$425,980	\$426,886	\$479,496		72.4%	10.4%	7.3%
21	21	Purdue U	11,260	13,723	19,605	20,751	19,331	21,944	75.6	13.5	6.9
22	54	U of Massachusetts, Amherst	11,267	6,340	4,976	7,342	9,534	21,591	67.2	126.5	6.7
23	17	U of California, Los Angeles	13,564	18,381	22,325	21,681	21,511	20,935	78.5	-2.7	4.4
24	11	Indiana U	10,812	19,684	17,253	18,207	23,980	20,882	41.9	-12.9	6.8
25	14	U of Utah	8,420	15,136	14,312	14,139	22,498	20,114	55.7	-10.6	9.1
26	22	Cornell U	14,002	21,090	18,380	20,108	19,199	19,365	74.8	0.9	3.3
27	27	State U of New York, Stony Brook	6,774		14,383	14,042	17,092	18,983	45.8	11.1	10.9
28	23	Johns Hopkins U ^b	12,616	12,693	16,376	19,154	18,583	18,632	95.9	0.3	4.0
29	45	U of Kansas	7,134		5,851	7,687	11,913	16,909	70.2	41.9	9.0
30	31	Virginia Tech	7,724	10,828	13,685	12,247	15,096	16,791	53.4	11.2	8.1
		Total, first 30 institutions	\$366,313	\$566,453		\$582,244			70.7%	10.2%	7.1%
31	25	U of California, Irvine	9,683	16,186	17,129	16,576	17,304	16,747	75.5	-3.2	5.6
32	39	U of Pittsburgh	7,459	12,524	11,793	14,528	12,392	16,002	62.8	29.1	7.9
33	35	Vanderbilt U	3,227	6,641	7,695	8,866	13,922	15,577	88.0	11.9	17.0
34	41	U of Akron	8,214	11,645	13,169	10,091	12,201	15,310	19.8	25.5	6.4
35	30	U of Pennsylvania	12,886	16,459	13,998	14,546	15,728	15,096	93.9	-4.0	1.6
36	37	U of Chicago	8,676	13,261	14,187	14,362	13,398	15,007	81.3	12.0	5.6
37	24	U of California, San Francisco	12,332	25,664	22,601	22,195	17,414	14,961	72.7	-14.1	2.0
38	32	Emory U	7,155	9,290	15,700	15,195	14,548	14,851	54.2	2.1	7.6
39	64	Montana State U, Bozeman	4,331	6,110	6,563	8,920	8,198	14,833	84.4	80.9	13.1
40	40	U of Minnesota	8,472	14,204	11,677	11,391	12,303	14,774	63.2	20.1	5.7
		Total, first 40 institutions		\$698,437					70.5%	10.5%	7.0%
41	33	U of Florida	10,070	12,828	13,417	12,537	14,537	14,739	63.8	1.4	3.9
42	38	U of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer	na	10,790	10,149	11,093	13,229	14,501	51.0	9.6	nm
		Center									
43	36	Ohio State U	13,141	12,574	11,168		13,404	13,203	78.1	-1.5	0.0
44	69	U of California, Santa Barbara	7,056		4,419	6,073	7,117	12,872	67.7	80.9	6.2
45	42	State U of New York, Buffalo	6,852		13,148	14,285	12,035	12,805	52.0	6.4	6.5
46	46	U of California, Davis	5,972	9,218	9,054	10,479	11,403	12,479	75.9	9.4	7.6
47	57	Princeton U	9,850	8,915	13,313	12,117	9,290	12,319	59.9	32.6	2.3
48	62	Columbia U	7,072	7,755	7,236	7,113	8,271	12,251	85.2	48.1	5.6
49	47	Arizona State U, Tempe	8,979		11,300	12,177	10,761	12,149	82.3	12.9	3.1
50	43	U of Southern Mississippi	3,960	9,029	11,840	10,582	11,988	12,012	79.2	0.2	11.7
		Total, first 50 institutions	\$521,700	\$801,372	\$812,682	\$828,397	\$907,676	\$1,008,117	70.3%	11.1%	6.8%
		TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$962,304	\$1,414,311	\$1,460,415	\$1,486,721	\$1,582,562	\$1,751,352	68.6%	10.7%	6.2%

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. School ranks in 2009 revised to reflect revision of State U of New York, Stony Brook, and Louisiana State U data. **a** Share of total expenditures funded by the federal government. **b** Includes funding for the Applied Physics Lab. **na** = not available. **nm** = not meaningful. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

SCHOOLS WITH MOST FEDERAL SUPPORT FOR CHEMICAL R&D Many institutions saw big leaps in federal funding from 2009

RA	NK								ANNUA	L CHANGE
2010	2009	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
1	3	Rutgers, State U of New Jersey	\$8,071	\$17,695	\$16,696	\$16,937	\$25,693	\$29,115	13.3%	13.7%
2	2	California Inst. of Technology	12,866	28,662	28,822	22,279	26,065	27,019	3.7	7.7
3	1	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	16,690	16,004	18,449	21,539	27,379	24,206	-11.6	3.8
4	8	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	7,556	15,757	16,263	19,650	18,419	23,240	26.2	11.9
5	4	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	10,294	16,496	19,674	20,495	21,340	22,576	5.8	8.2
6	5	Harvard U	14,788	31,683	25,629	21,183	19,649	22,544	14.7	4.3
7	7	U of California, San Diego	7,251	17,451	19,701	15,582	18,490	21,626	17.0	11.5
8	6	U of Washington, Seattle	6,493	15,243	15,592	16,955	18,504	20,609	11.4	12.2
9	9	U of Colorado	10,281	16,842	15,084	15,892	18,387	20,429	11.1	7.1
10	11	Northwestern U	8,865	13,767	14,785	13,645	17,260	19,713	14.2	8.3
		Total, first 10 institutions	\$103,155	\$189,600	\$190,695	\$184,157	\$211,186	\$231,077	9.4%	8.4%
11	20	Stanford U	10,973	12,970	13,052	15,695	13,209	19,361	46.6	5.8
12	10	Johns Hopkins U ^a	12,217	11,875	15,787	18,101	17,804	17,869	0.4	3.9
13	12	U of California, Berkeley	15,774	19,891	19,561	18,241	16,861	16,987	0.7	0.7
14	27	U of Arizona	7,265	10,235	10,630	10,646	10,857	16,771	54.5	8.7
15	17	Purdue U	6,958	9,604	12,721	15,069	13,680	16,583	21.2	9.1
16	13	U of California, Los Angeles	10,432	13,491	17,123	16,025	15,346	16,429	7.1	4.6
17	19	U of Michigan	7,317	12,962	11,371	12,573	13,349	15,923	19.3	8.1
18	18	Georgia Inst. of Technology	4,127 F 740	10,360	11,260	12,387	13,625	15,449	13.4	14.1
19 20	14 21	U of Texas, Austin U of Wisconsin, Madison	5,749 8,094	15,163 11,624	14,173 10,230	15,124 10,733	14,474 12,736	14,891 14,694	2.9 15.4	10.0 6.1
	21	Total, first 20 institutions	\$192,061	\$317,775	\$326,603	\$328,751	\$353,127	\$396,034	12.2%	7.5%
		iotal, first 20 institutions	\$192,001	\$317,775	\$320,003	\$520,/51	\$333,127	\$390,034	12.270	7.5%
21	54	U of Massachusetts, Amherst	5,667	4.004	3,100	4,838	6,153	14,507	135.8	9.9
22	16	Cornell U	9,103	14,528	11,387	12,256	13,732	14,493	5.5	4.8
23	15	U of Pennsylvania	11,992	14,892	12,182	13,026	13,745	14,177	3.1	1.7
24	22	Pennsylvania State U	9,797	12,082	10,184	12,547	12,371	14.154	14.4	3.7
25	34	U of South Carolina	5,826	5,900	5,901	8,653	9,085	13,754	51.4	9.0
26	24	Vanderbilt U	2,910	6,014	6,940	7,604	12,093	13,703	13.3	16.8
27	25	U of California, Irvine	6,979	10,901	11,227	10,638	11,190	12,651	13.1	6.1
28	42	Montana State U, Bozeman	2,869	5,629	6,006	8,000	7,572	12,523	65.4	15.9
29	28	U of Chicago	6,519	7,792	8,805	9,107	10,539	12,199	15.8	6.5
30	41	U of Kansas	4,725	4,965	4,311	5,205	7,680	11,875	54.6	9.7
		Total, first 30 institutions	\$258,448	\$404,482	\$406,646	\$420,625	\$457,287	\$530,070	15.9%	7.4%
31	26	U of Utah	7,172	10,130	9,963	10,095	11,188	11,205	0.2	4.6
32	23	U of California, San Francisco	9,033	19,962	15,957	14,982	12,158	10,880	-10.5	1.9
33	31	Texas A&M U	6,986	10,098	10,219	8,047	9,886	10,438	5.6	4.1
34	46	Columbia U	6,747	7,167	6,298	5,961	7,108	10,433	46.8	4.5
35	33	Ohio State U	5,529	7,916	7,924	9,465	9,662	10,317	6.8	6.4
36	29	U of Puerto Rico, Río Piedras	1,400	10,779	10,978	15,740	10,230	10,173	-0.6	21.9
37	30	U of Pittsburgh	6,436	10,037	10,437	11,547	10,180	10,043	-1.3	4.6
38	40	Arizona State U, Tempe	4,304	8,886	8,303	7,787	8,139	10,004	22.9	8.8
39 40	32	U of Southern Mississippi	2,861	6,991	9,376	9,075	9,765	9,519	-2.5	12.8 7.1
40	39	U of California, Davis	4,752	6,908	6,360	7,317	8,160	9,467	16.0	
		Total, first 40 institutions	\$313,668	\$503,356	\$502,461	\$520,641	\$553,763	\$632,549	14.2%	7.3%
41	35	U of Florida	6,088	9,237	8,580	8.060	8,794	9,397	6.9	4.4
42	37	U of Minnesota	6,122	10,014	7,643	7,639	8,501	9,337	9.8	4.4
43	44	Virginia Tech	3,435	6,015	7,360	6,071	7,138	8,959	25.5	10.1
44	52	Boston U	2,070	3,375	3,843	6,267	6,201	8,838	42.5	15.6
45	36	Indiana U	5,988	6,494	5,642	6,603	8,647	8,742	1.1	3.9
46	70	U of California, Santa Barbara	4,946	6,088	3,223	4,469	4,516	8,717	93.0	5.8
47	55	State U of New York, Stony Brook	4,773	6,094	6,349	5,716	6,077	8,695	43.1	6.2
48	50	New York U	2,068	4,886	4,482	5,882	6,326	8,309	31.3	14.9
49	45	Emory U	4,917	8,127	7,344	6,154	7,120	8,056	13.1	5.1
50	51	U of Southern California	5,762	4,915	5,566	6,455	6,288	7,838	24.7	3.1
		Total, first 50 institutions	\$359,837	\$568,601	\$562,493	\$583,957	\$623,371	\$719,433	15.4%	7.2%
		,			,			,		
		TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$631,606	\$968,134	\$975,723	\$992,275	\$1,037,432	\$1,201,243	15.8%	6.6%

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. School ranks in 2009 revised to reflect revision of Louisiana State U data. **a** Includes funding for the Applied Physics Lab. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

TOP 25 UNIVERSITIES IN 2010 R&D SPENDING

Compared with 2009, just one new arrival to 2010's list of big spenders

Note	RA	NK		LIFE		PHYSICAL		ENVIRON- MENTAL	MATH & COMPUTER	OTHER	
2 2 U of Michigan 702 215 50 25 13 19 137 1,135 3 8 U of Washington, Seattle 671 102 45 23 145 12 20 996 4 7 Duke U 837 47 20 9 18 15 44 981 5 3 U of Wisconsin, Madison 632 98 90 23 46 28 46 940 6 6 U of California, San Diego 537 113 58 26 149 41 39 938 7 4 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0	2010	2009	\$ MILLIONS	SCIENCES ^a	ENGINEERING	SCIENCES ^b	CHEMISTRY	SCIENCES	SCIENCES	SCIENCES	TOTAL
3	1	1	Johns Hopkins U ^d	\$817	\$782	\$166	\$19	\$51	\$119	\$62	\$1,997
4 7 Duke U 837 47 20 9 18 15 44 981 5 3 U of Wisconsin, Madison 632 98 90 23 46 28 46 940 6 6 U of California, San Diego 537 113 58 26 149 41 39 938 7 4 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 90 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 806 11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794	2	2	U of Michigan	702	215	50	25	13	19	137	1,135
5 3 Uof Wisconsin, Madison 632 98 90 23 46 28 46 940 6 6 U of California, San Diego 537 113 58 26 149 41 39 938 7 4 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 900 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 </td <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td>U of Washington, Seattle</td> <td>671</td> <td>102</td> <td>45</td> <td>23</td> <td>145</td> <td>12</td> <td>20</td> <td>996</td>	3	8	U of Washington, Seattle	671	102	45	23	145	12	20	996
6 6 U of California, San Diego 537 113 58 26 149 41 39 938 74 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 900 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 806 11 12 12 12 12 8 806 11 12 12 11 28 806 11 12 12 11 28 806 11 12 12 11 28 806 11 12 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 55 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 12 16 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 12 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 12 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 12 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 12 22 28 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 3 33 637 140 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	4	7	Duke U	837	47	20	9	18	15	44	981
7 4 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 900 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 866 11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 <td>5</td> <td>3</td> <td>U of Wisconsin, Madison</td> <td>632</td> <td>98</td> <td>90</td> <td>23</td> <td>46</td> <td>28</td> <td>46</td> <td>940</td>	5	3	U of Wisconsin, Madison	632	98	90	23	46	28	46	940
7 4 U of California, San Francisco 921 0 15 15 0 0 0 936 8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 900 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 866 11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 <td></td>											
8 5 U of California, Los Angeles 658 65 72 21 14 24 68 900 9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 806 11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 7 7 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 <td< td=""><td>6</td><td>6</td><td>U of California, San Diego</td><td>537</td><td>113</td><td>58</td><td>26</td><td>149</td><td>41</td><td>39</td><td>938</td></td<>	6	6	U of California, San Diego	537	113	58	26	149	41	39	938
9 15 Stanford U 500 127 93 24 26 30 35 810 10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 806 11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 510 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Colal institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168 10 V of Columbia V of Colum	7	4	U of California, San Francisco	921	0	15	15	0	0	0	936
10 22 U of Pittsburgh 700 31 25 16 12 11 28 806	8	_	U of California, Los Angeles	658	65	72	21	14	24	68	900
11 12 U of Pennsylvania 663 37 32 15 1 17 44 794 12	9	15	Stanford U	500	127	93	24	26	30	35	810
12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720	10	22	U of Pittsburgh	700	31	25	16	12	11	28	806
12 10 U of Minnesota 557 89 42 15 26 24 47 786 13 24 Columbia U 555 50 45 12 72 21 26 771 14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720											
13 24	11	12	U of Pennsylvania	663	37	32		_	17		794
14 9 Pennsylvania State U 253 277 58 23 57 57 66 768 15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669	12	10	U of Minnesota	557	89	42	15	26	24	47	786
15 14 Texas A&M U 275 248 45 23 129 21 32 749 16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 66	13	24	Columbia U	555	50	45	12	72	21	26	771
16 17 Cornell U 487 92 92 19 16 23 37 747 17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 <	14	9	Pennsylvania State U	253	277	58	23	57	57	66	768
17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33<	15	14	Texas A&M U	275	248	45	23	129	21	32	749
17 20 U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 593 0 33 26 31 18 72 747 18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,											
18 19 U of Colorado 410 89 97 24 85 12 29 722 19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472	16	17		487	92		19		23		747
19 13 Ohio State U 432 146 35 13 10 40 57 720 20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168	17	20		593	0	33	26	31	18	72	747
20 21 Washington U in St. Louis 626 20 17 8 8 6 17 694 21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168	18	19	U of Colorado	410	89		24	85	12		722
21 16 U of California, Davis 488 79 28 12 29 12 32 669 22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168	19	13	Ohio State U	432	146	35	13	10	40	57	720
22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168	20	21	Washington U in St. Louis	626	20	17	8	8	6	17	694
22 18 U of California, Berkeley 203 163 93 26 13 7 180 660 23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168											
23 11 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology 122 265 118 29 40 56 46 646 24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168									12		669
24 23 U of Florida 458 92 35 15 9 9 33 637 25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168									,		660
25 31 Yale U 538 27 30 10 4 8 15 621 Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168				122	265			40	56	-	646
Total, listed institutions \$13,636 \$3,254 \$1,433 \$472 \$1,005 \$628 \$1,213 \$21,168			U of Florida		-		15	9	9		637
	25	31	Yale U	538	27	30		4	8	15	621
TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS \$34,903 \$9,344 \$4,625 \$1,751 \$2,990 \$2,257 \$4,220 \$58,338			Total, listed institutions	\$13,636	\$3,254	\$1,433	\$472	\$1,005	\$628	\$1,213	\$21,168
TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS \$34,903 \$9,344 \$4,625 \$1,751 \$2,990 \$2,257 \$4,220 \$58,338											
			TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$34,903	\$9,344	\$4,625	\$1,751	\$2,990	\$2,257	\$4,220	\$58,338

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. Totals may not add because of rounding. Rankings calculated from unrounded data. a Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. b Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. c Included in physical sciences. d Includes Applied Physics Lab expenditures.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

Johns Hopkins University, which notched a 15.0% increase to \$16.2 million. Oklahoma State University rocketed to number four from number 118 after a large boost in federal chemical engineering funding to its new University Multispectral Laboratories, which are designed to speed commercialization of technologies for defense and energy, according to Alan Tree, associate dean of research. Georgia Tech, MIT, and the University of Delaware rounded out the top five.

Combined outlays for chemical research equipment hit \$162.0 million in 2010, a 19.2% increase over 2009. Ranking highest was MIT with a \$4.4 million outlay, followed by the University of Michigan; Arizona State University, Tempe; the University of Wisconsin, Madison; and Cornell University.

In 2010, federal support for chemical

research equipment was up in a big way—37.9% to \$112.9 million. Schools with the most support were Arizona State, Tempe; MIT; UNC Chapel Hill; the University of Kansas; and UW Madison.

ONCE AGAIN, numbers of students seeking graduate degrees in chemistry edged upward in 2010, this time by 1.5% to 22,436. The population of chemical engineering grad students was up 5.9% to 8,668. As is typical, about half of the chemical engineering students and more than one-third of the chemists were from outside the U.S. The number of life sciences graduate students slipped for the second year in a row in 2010.

The number of postdoctoral-level chemists barely budged in 2010, increasing 0.5% to 4,241. Ranks of chemical engineering

postdocs also held steady, with a 0.7% boost to 1,092.

Data for this article were drawn primarily from NSF's WebCASPAR database of academic science and engineering statistics, which can be accessed online at webcaspar. nsf.gov. Further information came from NSF's Higher Education Research & Development (HERD) Survey, which can be viewed at nsf.gov/statistics/nsf12330. For the 2010 fiscal year, which NSF classifies as the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, the agency rolled out the newly redesigned HERD Survey. The Survey of Research & Development Expenditures at Universities & Colleges collected information for 1972-2009. The HERD Survey includes fields other than science and engineering, whereas the earlier survey does not.

DATA ONLINE

Visit http://cenm.ag/10rd for tables with data about postdocs and grad students; the source of academic funds; inflation; and spending on chemical engineering, research equipment, and basic versus applied R&D.

SOURCES OF ACADEMIC R&D FUNDS

Federal funds increased by a double-digit percentage, other sources cut back funding

												ANNUAL	CHANGE
\$ MILLIONS	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
Federal govt.	\$17,548	\$19,227	\$21,856	\$24,753	\$27,627	\$29,187	\$30,132	\$30,430	\$31,272	\$32,576	\$36,503	12.1%	7.6%
Institutional funds	5,925	6,614	7,133	7,663	7,752	8,265	9,062	9,718	10,378	11,147	10,642	-4.5	6.0
State & local govt.	2,200	2,320	2,504	2,645	2,879	2,940	2,966	3,151	3,438	3,650	3,605	-1.2	5.1
Industry	2,156	2,218	2,191	2,161	2,129	2,291	2,401	2,675	2,869	3,197	3,130	-2.1	3.8
All other sources	2,255	2,422	2,698	2,856	2,851	3,092	3,198	3,521	3,916	4,293	4,458	3.8	7.1
TOTAL	\$30,084	\$32,801	\$36,383	\$40,077	\$43,238	\$45,774	\$47,759	\$49,495	\$51,872	\$54,863	\$58,338	6.3%	6.8%

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. SOURCE: "Higher Education Research and Development Survey: Fiscal Year 2010," National Science Foundation, 2012

SCHOOL SPENDING ON CHEMICAL ENGINEERING R&D

Federal sources provided roughly half of the money spent in 2010

RA	NK								% FEDERAL	ANNUAL	CHANGE
2010	2009	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	FUNDS, 2010a	2009-10	2000-10
1	1	Georgia Inst. of Technology	\$5,938	\$14,955	\$16,971	\$19,714	\$25,104	\$28,202	45.5%	12.3%	16.9%
2	5	Ohio State U	4,962	12,325	25,570	23,505	19,468	27,771	22.9	42.6	18.8
3	3	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	16,106	18,851	19,027	21,763	23,375	25,497	48.7	9.1	4.7
4	16	U of South Carolina	5,961	9,780	9,790	10,007	11,150	22,993	31.2	106.2	14.5
5	6	U of Texas, Austin	7,469	13,896	14,820	16,891	18,450	21,947	23.2	19.0	11.4
6	2	North Carolina State U, Raleigh	15,382	16,499	15,512	22,533	24,822	20,589	37.4	-17.1	3.0
7	8	Texas A&M U	9,364	11,089	11,777	13,179	16,300	17,688	28.5	8.5	6.6
8	9	Johns Hopkins U	6,150	9,616	11,540	13,153	15,455	17,351	93.3	12.3	10.9
9	4	U of Massachusetts, Amherst	3,751	15,227	15,049	20,294	20,593	15,383	57.6	-25.3	15.2
10	24	U of Akron	3,160	4,517	3,946	6,702	9,606	14,354	13.8	49.4	16.3
11	111	Oklahoma State U ^b	1,463	1,398	1,321	1,353	1,056	14,327	80.5	1,256.7	25.6
12	11	U of California, Davis	4,081	8,204	10,193	12,212	12,671	14,295	56.5	12.8	13.4
13	18	Purdue U	6,624	4,204	9,275	9,882	10,102	13,958	58.9	38.2	7.7
14	27	Stanford U	6,468	8,982	7,516	8,268	8,438	13,826	66.5	63.9	7.9
15	14	U of Delaware	5,890	7,613	7,945	9,210	11,179	13,531	79.0	21.0	8.7
16	13	U of Colorado	3,521	6,887	7,338	8,864	12,166	13,299	57.5	9.3	14.2
17	7	Pennsylvania State U	14,257	16,129	17,935	18,660	18,139	13,082	49.1	-27.9	-0.9
18	29	U of California, Santa Barbara	4,995	7,477	8,296	8,405	8,083	12,808	53.1	58.5	9.9
19	48	U of Tulsa	4,434	4,999	6,614	6,921	5,268	12,722	7.5	141.5	11.1
20	10	Michigan State U	5,656	9,970	11,084	13,906	13,443	12,409	47.8	-7.7	8.2
21	22	U of Wisconsin, Madison	7,317	6,870	7,628	7,755	9,677	11,656	69.1	20.5	4.8
22	26	U of Minnesota	9,057	9,634	10,507	10,296	9,204	11,024	38.8	19.8	2.0
23	20	U of Michigan	3,623	9,651	8,294	8,421	9,842	10,468	65.9	6.4	11.2
24	25	State U of New York, Buffalo	1,718	9,138	15,742	17,923	9,477	10,259	69.4	8.3	19.6
25	12	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	5,160	6,382	7,231	9,027	12,286	9,324	66.2	-24.1	6.1
		Total, listed institutions	\$162,507	\$244,293	\$280,921	\$318,844	\$335,354	\$398,763	48.1%	18.9%	9.4%
		TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$375,708	\$560,005	\$598,944	\$655,603	\$695,842	\$797,416	52.6%	14.6%	7.8%

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. **a** Share of total expenditures funded by the federal government. **b** Data for 2010 include funding for University Multispectral Lab. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

FEDERAL SUPPORT FOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING R&D

Overall funding in 2010 received a healthy boost as compared with 2009

RA	NK								ANNUAL	CHANGE
2010	2009	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
1	1	Johns Hopkins U	\$5,295	\$8,688	\$11,007	\$11,912	\$14,081	\$16,192	15.0%	11.8%
2	4	Georgia Inst. of Technology	2,460	6,977	6,713	7,889	11,143	12,828	15.1	18.0
3	2	MIT	10,131	13,172	13,643	14,419	12,676	12,409	-2.1	2.0
4	118	Oklahoma State U ^a	496	742	714	711	346	11,534	3,233.5	37.0
5	7	U of Delaware	2,940	5,603	5,984	7,172	8,297	10,693	28.9	13.8
6	36	Stanford U	5,378	5,324	4,137	4,659	4,144	9,192	121.8	5.5
7	3	U of Massachusetts, Amherst	1,384	8,440	7,495	10,316	11,442	8,860	-22.6	20.4
8	20	Purdue U	2,403	1,279	3,410	4,579	5,414	8,224	51.9	13.1
9	11	U of California, Davis	2,512	4,627	6,152	6,151	6,809	8,075	18.6	12.4
10	12	U of Wisconsin, Madison	4,295	4,381	4,977	4,098	6,527	8,053	23.4	6.5
11	9	North Carolina State U, Raleigh	7,433	8,223	7,491	6,823	7,371	7,699	4.4	0.4
12	10	U of Colorado	2,299	5,081	5,171	5,739	6,856	7,642	11.5	12.8
13	15	Carnegie Mellon U	2,223	3,260	3,457	4,249	6,389	7,500	17.4	12.9
14	26	New Jersey Inst. of Technology	735	2,254	4,246	4,406	4,592	7,209	57.0	25.6
15	14	U of South Carolina	3,000	5,695	5,659	6,141	6,448	7,177	11.3	9.1
16	19	State U of New York, Buffalo	811	3,174	9,007	13,021	5,882	7,120	21.0	24.3
17	18	U of Dayton	441	2,643	3,593	4,473	6,064	7,040	16.1	31.9
18	22	U of Utah	5,497	3,574	4,078	3,827	4,856	6,952	43.2	2.4
19	13	U of Michigan	2,315	8,255	6,187	5,897	6,512	6,894	5.9	11.5
_20	29	U of California, Santa Barbara	3,907	4,925	4,069	3,766	4,496	6,806	51.4	5.7
21	5	Pennsylvania State U	8,491	8,798	10,470	10,393	9,726	6,424	-34.0	-2.8
22	21	Ohio State U	1,178	4,394	3,296	4,905	4,949	6,365	28.6	18.4
23	6	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	3,001	4,160	4,866	5,815	8,435	6,174	-26.8	7.5
24	25	Michigan State U	1,465	3,718	3,465	4,198	4,597	5,936	29.1	15.0
25	16	Rutgers, State U of New Jersey	676	1,136	3,448	6,198	6,248	5,926	-5.2	24.2
		Total, listed institutions	\$80,766	\$128,523	\$142,735	\$161,757	\$174,300	\$208,924	19.9%	10.0%
		TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$196,325	\$319,993	\$322,324	\$341,043	\$359,726	\$419,056	16.5%	7.9%

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. a Data for 2010 include funding for University Multispectral Lab. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

UNIVERSITY SPENDING FOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT

Chemistry outlays for equipment saw double-digit percentage spike in 2010

							% FEDERAL	ANNUAI	L CHANGE
\$ MILLIONS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	FUNDS, 2010a	2009-10	2000-10
ALL SCIENCES	\$1,132	\$1,383	\$1,395	\$1,439	\$1,471	\$1,602	65.2%	8.9%	3.5%
Life ^b	630	752	737	796	786	905	62.8	15.1	3.7
Physical ^c	251	329	310	302	333	363	75.7	9.0	3.8
Physics	110	153	143	136	149	154	81.7	3.4	3.4
Chemistry	104	122	113	114	136	162	69.7	19.1	4.5
Environmental	100	123	136	144	126	151	76.7	19.8	4.2
Math & computer	66	79	84	89	100	72	70.3	-28.0	0.9
Other	85	99	129	108	126	110	30.5	-12.7	2.6
ALL ENGINEERING	\$306	\$443	\$428	\$435	\$475	\$512	58.1%	7.8%	5.3%
Materials	30	54	54	52	58	65	47.1	12.1	8.0
Chemical	26	39	37	39	48	51	51.5	6.3	7.0
TOTALd	\$1,438	\$1,826	\$1,824	\$1,874	\$1,947	\$2,148	62.9%	nm	nm

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. Totals may not add because of rounding. a Share of total expenditures funded by the federal government. b Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. c Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. d Total for 2010 not comparable to earlier years because fields outside of science and engineering were included. nm = not meaningful. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

SCHOOL SPENDING ON CHEMICAL RESEARCH EQUIPMENT Spending for all institutions grew 19%, same as 2009

RANK	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	% FEDERAL FUNDS, 2010 ^a	ANNUAL AVERAGE, 2006–10
2010 1	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	\$2.956	\$1,660	\$1.384	\$2.166	\$2.176	\$4.404	56.3%	\$2.358
2		575	1.397	970		1.994		41.6	
3	U of Michigan Arizona State U, Tempe	518	428	751	1,051 631	1,994	4,060 3,748	92.7	1,894 1.146
4	U of Wisconsin, Madison	2,527	1,446	864	1,661	2,253	3,748	92.7 62.2	, ,
5	Cornell U	822	709	268	728	3,484	3,371	15.0	1,919 1,667
	Corriell O	022	709	200	720	3,464	5,147	15.0	1,007
6	Wayne State U	206	229	1,039	1,493	725	2,733	43.8	1.244
7	U of Akron	755	1,019	2,846	743	3,337	2,733	21.4	2,107
8	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	801	457	2,040	1,941	956	2,532	97.9	1.631
9	U of Kansas	1.078	641	1,443	744	1.210	2,356	97.0	1,031
10	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	1,078	2.232	2.201	1.565	3,006	2,336	78.7	2,258
	O OF HILLOUS, OFDAHA-CHAMPAIGH	1,0/1	2,232	2,201	1,363	3,006	2,204	/0./	2,230
11	U of California, Berkeley	1,727	1,545	1,489	1,661	1,769	2,240	61.5	1.741
12	Indiana U	1,727	5,091	3,910	1,661	4,821	2,240	35.4	3,530
13	U of Arizona	914	609	696	1.050	816	2,132	94.0	1.059
14	Virginia Tech.	355	618	1,879	1,423	1,600	2,122	51.1	1,518
15	Pennsylvania State U	1.137	1.700	901	2,868	3,331	2,071	60.8	2,170
	Terrisylvariia State O	1,137	1,700	901	2,000	3,331	2,031	00.8	2,170
16	U of Washington, Seattle	1.286	1,882	585	1.181	2,113	2.010	93.1	1.554
17	Northwestern U	1,280	377	1,969	1,181	1,878	1,993	90.3	1,451
18	Montana State U, Bozeman	840	650	372	188	270	1,985	65.8	693
19	U of California, San Diego	392	3,560	2,646	1.150	2.356	1,976	89.2	2.338
20	U of Southern Mississippi	346	728	988	1,450	2,087	1,958	68.2	1.442
	0 01 30dtherri Mississippi	340	720	300	1,430	2,007	1,556	00.2	1,442
21	California Inst. of Technology	2.497	1.492	679	1.072	1.467	1.920	79.9	1.326
22	U of Massachusetts. Amherst	1,289	688	100	289	446	1,916	98.0	688
23	Harvard U	1,451	3,225	1.923	1,476	1.321	1.844	78.7	1.958
24	Virginia Commonwealth U	371	89	35	43	130	1.676	82.7	395
25	Purdue U	1.347	995	1.799	1.116	629	1.638	64.3	1.235
	Total, listed institutions	\$28,360	\$33,467	\$34,006	\$30,422	\$44,348	\$60,755	66.4%	\$40,600
		7=2,000	+==,	72.,000	+,	÷ : :,0 :0	+==0,:00	231170	1 : 5,000
	TOTAL. ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$104.097	\$121.611	\$112.980	\$114.324	\$135.957	\$162.016	69.7%	\$129,378
l 	TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	Ψ10-4,037	Ψ121,011	Ψ112,500	ψ11 - 7,324	Ψ100,907	Ψ102,010	53.7 70	Ψ123,376

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. Totals may not add because of rounding. **a** Share of total expenditures funded by the federal government. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

FEDERAL SUPPORT FOR CHEMICAL RESEARCH EQUIPMENT Total funding zoomed up 38% for all institutions in 2010

RANK								ANNUAL AVERAGE,
2010	\$ THOUSANDS	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006-10
1	Arizona State U, Tempe	\$497	\$293	\$715	\$394	\$142	\$3,473	\$1,003
2 (tie)	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	2,222	1,355	1,240	2,088	1,889	2,480	1,810
2 (tie)	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	770	457	2,262	1,899	931	2,480	1,606
3	U of Kansas	965	563	523	705	1,042	2,285	1,024
4	U of Wisconsin, Madison	1,328	768	419	1,304	1,405	2,098	1,199
5	U of Arizona	881	377	652	921	695	1,995	928
6	U of Massachusetts, Amherst	1,171	485	58	261	283	1,878	593
7	U of Washington, Seattle	847	1,358	460	892	1,712	1,872	1,259
- 8	Northwestern U	784	351	1,003	489	1,485	1,800	1,026
9	U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign	908	1,224	1,894	1,192	2,229	1,798	1,667
10	U of California, San Diego	243	3,384	2,527	839	2,340	1,762	2,170
11	U of Michigan	459	281	299	356	1,219	1,690	769
12	California Inst. of Technology	939	1,456	617	953	984	1,534	1,109
13	Harvard U	1,087	2,958	1,395	1,201	785	1,452	1,558
14	Virginia Commonwealth U	292	73	34	37	128	1,386	332
15	U of California, Berkeley	1,421	1,355	750	1,287	1,104	1,377	1,175
16	U of Southern Mississippi	311	715	937	1,407	1,836	1,336	1,246
17	Montana State U, Bozeman	546	625	370	164	251	1,307	543
18	U of California, Los Angeles	1,007	573	509	1,251	757	1,286	875
19	Pennsylvania State U	783	757	850	1,270	893	1,248	1,004
20	New York U	86	68	176	67	178	1,229	344
21	U of Toledo	0	68	0	11	0	1,227	261
22	Wayne State U	199	142	429	421	196	1,196	477
23	U of Louisville	42	35	830	258	524	1,172	564
24	Stanford U	1,033	614	927	1,156	710	1,164	914
	Total, listed institutions	\$18,821	\$20,335	\$19,876	\$20,823	\$23,718	\$42,525	\$25,455
	TOTAL, ALL INSTITUTIONS	\$63,651	\$78,994	\$72,837	\$71,426	\$81,868	\$112,875	\$83,600

NOTE: Institutional fiscal years. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING GRADUATE STUDENTS

Overall number of grad students inched up in 2010, but life sciences see second dip in a row

							ANNUAL	CHANGE
	2000	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
ALL SCIENCES	389,199	474,602	492,424	499,538	493,772	490,206	-0.7%	2.3%
Life ^a	148,080	194,313	190,344	191,045	176,820	169,502	-4.1	1.4
Biological	56,282	69,941	73,516	74,678	75,660	77,726	2.7	3.3
Agricultural	12,023	13,016	13,528	14,153	15,200	15,656	3.0	2.7
Psychology & social	133,793	160,000	162,767	162,375	164,004	162,639	-0.8	2.0
Math & computer	63,000	68,468	69,221	70,953	73,387	74,682	1.8	1.7
Physical ^b	30,385	36,901	36,824	37,319	38,149	38,973	2.2	2.5
Chemistry	18,105	21,351	21,298	21,574	22,094	22,436	1.5	2.2
Physics	10,841	13,722	13,816	13,862	14,060	14,507	3.2	3.0
Environmental	13,941	14,920	14,100	14,389	14,839	15,655	5.5	1.2
Other	na	na	19,168	23,457	26,573	28,755	8.2	nm
ALL ENGINEERING	104,112	123,041	127,075	131,951	137,873	142,446	3.3%	3.2%
Chemical	7,056	7,261	7,584	7,892	8,188	8,668	5.9	2.1
Materials	4,377	5,268	5,314	5,539	5,863	6,274	7.0	3.7
TOTAL	493,311	597,643	619,499	631,489	631,645	632,652	0.2%	2.5%

NOTE: Includes part- and full-time graduate students at M.S.- and Ph.D.-granting institutions. a Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. b Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. na = not available. nm = not meaningful.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

FOREIGN GRADUATE STUDENTS

Percentages barely budged in 2010 for chemistry and chemical engineering grad students from outside the U.S.

	200	06	200)7	200	08	2009		2010	
NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS		% OF TOTAL								
ALL SCIENCES	95,675	20.2%	99,722	20.3%	105,499	21.1%	107,155	21.7%	108,461	22.1%
Math & computer	28,574	41.7	30,348	43.8	32,402	45.7	33,151	45.2	33,991	45.5
Life ^a	27,916	14.4	27,952	14.7	29,583	15.5	29,672	16.8	29,168	17.2
Biological	16,907	24.2	16,958	23.1	17,932	24.0	17,756	23.5	17,897	23.0
Agricultural	2,675	20.6	2,897	21.4	3,106	21.9	3,262	21.5	3,240	20.7
Psychology & social	21,661	13.5	21,414	13.2	22,273	13.7	22,321	13.6	22,660	13.9
Physical ^b	14,757	40.0	14,407	39.1	14,763	39.6	15,078	39.5	15,321	39.3
Chemistry	8,301	38.9	8,097	38.0	8,419	39.0	8,579	38.8	8,670	38.6
Physics	6,000	43.7	5,898	42.7	5,874	42.4	5,982	42.5	6,047	41.7
Environmental	2,767	18.5	2,612	18.5	2,777	19.3	2,757	18.6	2,926	18.7
Other	na	nm	2,989	15.6	3,701	15.8	4,176	15.7	4,395	15.3
ALL ENGINEERING	55,343	45.0%	59,483	46.8%	62,540	47.4%	64,842	47.0%	65,699	46.1%
Chemical	3,576	49.2	3,840	50.6	4,071	51.6	4,166	50.9	4,396	50.7
Materials	2,578	48.9	2,595	48.8	2,743	49.5	2,914	49.7	3,077	49.0
TOTAL	151,018	25.3%	159,205	25.7%	168,039	26.6%	171,997	27.2%	174,160	27.5%

NOTE: Percent of total is the percentage of part- and full-time graduate students at M.S.- and Ph.D.-granting institutions who were not U.S. citizens or permanent residents. **a** Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. **b** Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. **na** = not available. **nm** = not meaningful. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

POSTDOCTORAL POSITIONS

Environmental postdocs numbers boosted the most in 2010, while chemistry and chemical engineering postdocs had the smallest growth

ANNUAL CHANGE 2000 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2009-10 2000-10 **ALL SCIENCES** 45,903 9.8% 39,802 44,701 48,713 51,411 56,469 3.6% Lifea 30,447 34,190 34,996 37,278 42,914 10.4 3.5 Biological 16,734 18,807 19,394 20,170 20,804 22,355 7.5 2.9 822 985 1,083 1.195 10.3 Agricultural 927 1 147 3.8 Physical^b 6,270 6,703 6,719 6,885 7,447 7,703 2.1 3,877 3.952 3,943 0.5 09 4,045 4,219 4,241 Chemistry Physics 1,948 2,130 2,206 2,327 2,517 2,628 4.4 3.0 Psychology & social 1,267 1,571 1,585 1,780 1,723 -3.2 3.7 1,424 23.6 Environmental 1,339 1,155 1.495 1,250 1,760 4.3 Math & computer 1,046 1,080 1,216 1,331 1,504 13.0 541 59.9 Other 865 na na 287 410 nm ALL ENGINEERING 3,313 4,642 4,937 5,451 6,394 6,946 8.6% 7.7% 1,092 703 1,084 0.7 4.5 Chemical 735 790 880 Materials 507 571 605 835 10.2 5.1 TOTAL 43,115 49,343 50,840 54,164 57,805 63,415 9.7% 3.9%

NOTE: Data for Ph.D.-granting institutions. **a** Includes agricultural, biological, medical, and other life sciences. **b** Includes astronomy, chemistry, physics, and other physical sciences. **na** = not available. **nm** = not meaningful. **SOURCE:** National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System

CURRENT VERSUS CONSTANT DOLLAR SPENDING

When inflation is considered, increases are more modest

				CHA	NGE
ACADEMIC R&D SPENDING (\$ MILLIONS)	2000	2009	2010	2009-10	2000-10
TOTAL					
Current dollars	\$30,084	\$54,863	\$58,338	6.3%	93.9%
Constant dollars	30,084	44,173	46,542	5.4	54.7
CHEMISTRY					
Current dollars	\$962	\$1,583	\$1,751	10.6%	82.0%
Constant dollars	962	1,275	1,397	9.6	45.2

NOTE: Calculated using 2000 = 1.00; deflator data obtained from whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/historicals. SOURCE: National Science Foundation, WebCASPAR Database System